

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

ERIC PRESCOTT KAY §  
VS. § ACTION NO. 4:25-CV-132-Y  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA § (Crim. No. 4:20-CR-269-Y)

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Pending before the Court is petitioner Eric Prescott Kay's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply (doc. 18).<sup>1</sup> After review of the motion, the Court concludes that it should be, and it is hereby, GRANTED. Kay shall have until **September 8, 2025**, to file his reply to the government's response to his § 2255 motion.

SIGNED August 13, 2025.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TERRY R. MEANS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>The Court received neither a paper copy of nor an electronic proposed order with the motion. Counsel are reminded of this Court's requirement that a paper copy of every motion be transmitted to chambers upon electronic filing of the motion. See N.D. TEX. L. Civ. R. 5.1(b); ECF Admin. Procedures Manual at 3, ¶ II(C). Counsel are also reminded that all motions require an **electronic** proposed order (emailed to [means\\_orders@txnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:means_orders@txnd.uscourts.gov)). See N.D. TEX. L. Civ. R. 7.1(c); ECF Admin. Procedures Manual at 3, II(F). Providing these items generally expedites the Court's consideration of the motion to which they pertain; failure to provide them may result in the unfilling of the related motion.